Level: MH

School: DENVER SCHOOL OF THE ARTS - 2184 District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	96.9%	(14.5 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	73.1%	(25.6 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	65.0%	(9.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	98.3%	(34.4 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

84.3%

(84.3 out of 100 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.9%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	1361	885	2246	-	1362	885	2247
Mathematics	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	1362	885	2247	-	1362	885	2247
Writing	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	1362	885	2247	-	1362	885	2247
Science	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	487	416	903	-	487	416	903
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	360	-	-	-	360	-





TOTAL

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Scho
School: DENVER SCHOOL OF THE	ARTS						District: DENVER COUNT	Г <mark>Ү 1 - 0880 (3 Ye</mark> a
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	1360	91.1	95	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1361	67.89	81	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	1361	83.98	94	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	486	74.07	92	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1280	49	13	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	1282	36	49	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1277	50	27	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	20	45	46	No
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	165	36	25	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	438	46	18	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	48	53	40	Yes
English Learners	3	4		Meets	98	49	24	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	103	52	59	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	165	29	64	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	438	34	59	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	48	33	78	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	98	34	63	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	295	44	87	No
Writing Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	165	49	41	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	437	46	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	47	49	67	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	97	50	42	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	185	56	71	No
					_			

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: DENVER SCHOOL OF THE ART	S						District: DENVER COUNT	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	,
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	882	93.54	97	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	882	54.88	92	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	882	81.86	98	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	414	78.02	97	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	846	62	4	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	847	58	59	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	847	61	14	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	17	20	85%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	00/0	Exceeds	96	62	17	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	320	59	6	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	23	52	78	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	57	65	10	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	72	63	61	Yes
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	3371	Meets	96	65	98	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	320	58	75	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	36	99	No
English Learners	3	4	-	Meets	57	67	77	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	325	66	99	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets	323		33	.,,
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	7570	Meets	96	55	45	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	320	61	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	30	86	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	57	67	36	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	135	67	80	No
Total	45	60	75%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	4	196/ 378 /254/136	96/ 96.3 /95.3/94.9%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.75	3	91.7%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds		65/48/ 26 /N<16	93.8/95.8/ 96.2 /-%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		176 /124/77/43	93.8 /93.5/90.9/90.7%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets		23/ 19 /18/N<16	87/ 89.5 /88.9/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		1841	0.4%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds		360	22.6	20.1
Total	14.75	15	98.3%					

Scoring Guide Level: MH

joimance maicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	: Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	el Framework Po
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or adva	anced was:						
	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009)	-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Academic	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile	ntile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	seline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
Achievement	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile	entile of all schools (using 2009-10 ba	seline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 b.)	aseline).		Does Not Mee	t	1	1	
	If the school meets the median adequate student growth per	centile and its median student growtl	h percentile was:	<u> </u>	TCAP	CELA		
	• at or above 60.			Exceeds	4	2	14	
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth	If the school does not meet the median adequate student gro	wth percentile and its median studen	t growth percentile was:	•	TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or above 70.	•		Exceeds	4	2	language	
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
	below 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.5		
	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student	arowth percentile and its median stu	dent arowth percentile was:	poes not mee	1 -	0.5		
	• at or above 60.	growin percentile and its mealan state	acine growers percentine wass	Exceeds	Τ	4		
	below 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3	_	
	below 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.			Does Not Mee	+	1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate:	student growth percentile and its me	dian student growth persent		4	_	subgroups in 3	15
Glowth Gaps	• at or above 70.	student growth percentile und its me	ululi studelit growtii percelit	Exceeds	ı	4	subject areas)	15
	below 70 but at or above 55.			Meets	-	3	subject areas)	
	below 70 but at or above 35. below 55 but at or above 40.				-	2	-	
				Approaching		1	-	
	• below 40.	. The selection was disastine water (d	:	Does Not Mee	Overall	-		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate	: The school's graduation rate/al	saggregatea graduation			Disaggr.	_	
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Mee	t 1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:						16	
stsecondary and	at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
rkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-	10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009)	-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Mee	t	1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average	Colorado ACT composite score w	ras:					
	• at or above 22.	•		Exceeds	I	4	1	
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-1)	.0 baseline).		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-1)			Approaching		2		
	• below 17.	,		Does Not Mee	t	1		
Dainta fay aaab na			Cut Dainta for vian to		1			
	rformance indicator		Cut-Points for plan ty					
	Point: The school earned of the points eligible on t				earned	. of the to	otal Framework points eligib	
	at or above 87.5%	Exceeds		at or above 60%				Performance
	at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or above 47% - bel				Improvement
			Points	 at or above 33% - bel 	014/ 470/			Priority Improvem
	at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - bei	UW 4776			Honty Improven

4

Performance Plan

Improvement Plan

Turnaround Plan

Priority Improvement Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.

The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 2184

A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of

five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading		Math			Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41


Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

5



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 2184

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	94.9	94.9	94.9	94.9
Anticipated Year	2009	94.9	95.8	95.8	
of Graduation	2010	96.8	98.4		
	2011	97.5			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	90.9	95.5		
	2011	94.1			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	90.7	90.7	90.7	90.7
Anticipated Year	2009	91.2	91.2	91.2	
of Graduation	2010	95.7	97.9		
	2011	96.2			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	94.9	94.9	94.9	94.9
Anticipated Year	2009	94.9	95.8	95.8	
of Graduation	2010	96.8	98.4		
	2011	97.5			
	Aggregated	96	96.3	95.3	94.9

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	90.9	95.5		
	2011	94.1			
	Aggregated	93.8	95.8	96.2	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	90.7	90.7	90.7	90.7
Anticipated Year	2009	91.2	91.2	91.2	
of Graduation	2010	95.7	97.9		
	2011	96.2			
	Aggregated	93.8	93.5	90.9	90.7

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	87	89.5	88.9	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

6

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.

SPF 2012 - 0880 - 2184